

Keeping Watch: Building State Capacity to Oversee Medicaid Managed Long Term Supports and Services

August 3, 2012

Alliance for Health Reform/AARP Public Policy Institute

Debra J. Lipson, Senior Researcher
dlipson@mathematica-mpr.com

MATHEMATICA
Policy Research

Assessing MLTSS Oversight Capacity

- **Structure and Resources**
 - Staff with the right mix of skills and experience, IT, partners , eg. MCO licensing and quality of care experts, LTSS experts, EQROs, and consumer advisory groups
- **Core Functions**
 - Contract Monitoring and Performance Improvement
 - Provider Network Adequacy and Access to Services
 - Quality Assurance and Improvement
 - Member Education and Consumer Rights
 - Rate Setting
- **Management and integration of monitoring functions and information**

Classification of MLTSS Oversight Practices

- **Three types of oversight practices emerged by comparing state oversight activities with federal managed care regulations**
 - **Norms:** consistent with federal rules and requirements, or used by most of the experienced states
 - **Promising Practices:** go beyond federal regulations, appear to improve plan performance or beneficiary outcomes, often involve more frequent review, or require greater capacity or resources than are typical in most states.
 - **Caution Flags:** may pose a risk to beneficiaries or to achieving program goals because they involve sporadic or cursory oversight and monitoring of plan performance, or do not use incentives or apply penalties

Promising Practices

- **Contract Monitoring & Performance Improvement**
 - Automated workflow tools to ensure that MCO reports are submitted, reviewed, and acted upon appropriately
 - Audit of MCOs' internal management processes done on-site
 - Regular contract revisions to raise performance targets
 - Incentives for MCOs that meet or exceed quality standards
- **Member Education & Consumer Rights**
 - One set of consistent Medicaid/Medicare Advantage – SNP member materials (for integrated programs)
 - Dedicated ombudsman to investigate managed LTSS member problems
 - Critical incidents monitored daily

Promising Practices

- **Provider Network Adequacy & Access to Services**
 - Medicaid agency or "mystery shoppers" verify that provider offices are open and accepting new patients
 - Telehealth services are covered in the benefit package for underserved areas
- **Quality Assurance & Improvement**
 - Electronic visit verification system is used to monitor home care services in real time
 - Dashboard of quality indicators presents a comprehensive picture of performance
 - Encounter data are used to construct quality measures and monitor performance

Link between State Oversight and Beneficiary or LTSS System Outcomes?

- **State oversight is one of many activities and factors that contribute to MCO performance, and LTSS system and beneficiary outcomes**
 - Also depends on availability and quality of care delivered by providers, beneficiary health status and health behaviors, federal and state funding, and MCO financial and marketplace incentives
- **But we found encouraging signs that state oversight *can* make a difference**
 - AZ – e.g. improved care for diabetics
 - AZ and TN – increased share of LTSS members who live in home & community settings (rebalancing)

Implications for States Developing New MLTSS Programs

- **At least 10 states proposing to implement new MLTSS programs by January 2014**
 - Lack of experience or expertise in MLTSS oversight will require more resources to develop, operate, and oversee new managed LTSS programs - build, borrow, or buy
- **State Readiness Reviews – CMS, policymakers, and other stakeholders need to ensure key state oversight capacities and functions are ready to go when enrollment begins, e.g.**
 - Ability to monitor LTSS provider network adequacy, development of care plans and initiation of services
 - Content and schedule of MCO data files and reports
 - Collection and reporting of encounter data

Conclusions

- **MLTSS requires a greater level of oversight than “regular” Medicaid managed care because LTSS users are more vulnerable to under-service**
- **Experienced states offer valuable models and lessons about effective oversight practices**
- **Norms, promising practices and caution flags can help assess state capacity and need for improvement**
- **For states lacking experience running MLTSS programs, need greater attention to readiness reviews, training and technical assistance**

A few caveats . . .

- **Point-in-time analysis**
 - State practices in flux
 - Early experience (and missteps) not systematically examined

- **Need stronger evidence to demonstrate a link between promising practices and better outcomes for beneficiaries before viewing them as “best practice”**
 - But their adoption and use by experienced states suggests they warrant close attention